Life, lifestyle and location: examining the complexities of psychological distress in young adult Indigenous and non-Indigenous Australians
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Mental health is fundamental to an individual’s health and well-being. Mental health disorders affect a substantial portion of the Australian population, with the most vulnerable time in adolescence and young adulthood. Indigenous Australians fare worse than other Australians on almost every measure of physical and mental health. Cross-sectional data from young adults (21–27 years) participating in the Life Course Program, Northern Territory, Australia, is presented. Rates of psychological distress were high in remote and urban residing Indigenous and urban non-Indigenous young adults. This rate was more pronounced in young women, particularly in Indigenous remote and urban residing women. Young adults with high psychological distress also had lower levels of positive well-being, higher perceived stress levels, experienced a higher number of major life events and were at an increased risk of suicidal ideation and/or self-harm. This study supports the need for a continued focus on early screening and treatment at this vulnerable age. The significant association seen between psychological distress and other markers of emotional well-being, particularly risk of suicidal ideation and/or self-harm, highlights the need for a holistic approach to mental health assessment and treatment. A concerted focus on improving the environs of young adults by lowering levels of stress, improving access to adequate housing, educational and employment opportunity, will assist in improving the emotional health of young adults.
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Introduction

An individual’s mental health is fundamental not only to their own health and well-being, but also to that of their families, communities and the population as a whole. Mental health disorders rank among the most substantial causes of morbidity and mortality worldwide.1,2 Almost half (45.5%) of Australian adults experience psychological distress, affective or substance use disorder at some point in their life.3 Often beginning in childhood or adolescence, they can lead to considerable disability, contribute to adverse health behaviors and ill-health.4 Despite improvements in treatment, there continues to be a substantial unmet need for appropriate screening and subsequent treatment, with less than half of people with mental health issues seeking professional help.5 Early detection and treatment can reduce the long-term impact of mental health disorders.

The gap in health status and life expectancy between Indigenous and non-Indigenous Australians is well documented. This divide is wider than for other western nations with Indigenous populations, such as New Zealand and Canada.6 This gap is particularly evident in the Northern Territory (NT) where the life expectancies of Indigenous residents are, on average, 14 years less than non-Indigenous residents.7 Indigenous Australians experience higher rates of poor health, poverty, poor diet, inadequate housing and mental health disorders.6,7 Indigenous adults are almost three times more likely than non-Indigenous to have experienced psychological distress.6 They are more likely to experience stressful life events such as death of a family member, alcohol/drug problems or abuse/violent crime at a higher rate.8 The NT has the highest rate of hospitalizations due to violent injury through interaction with a family member or intimate partner, highest in Indigenous people.5,8,9 The Western Australian Aboriginal Child Health Survey (WAACHS) reported one in five Indigenous children lived in families that had recently experienced seven or more significant life events compared with only 0.02% of non-Indigenous young people.10 An increased number of stressful life events can lead to psychological distress and adverse health behaviors.6,11 Indigenous Australians have higher rates of suicide across all age groups compared with the national rate (4.2 v. 1.6%, respectively of all registered deaths).12 The NT has the highest rates of suicide of all Australian jurisdictions over recent decades, with the highest rate seen in Indigenous people.13 Depression, stressful life events and substance use can lead to an increased risk of self-harm or suicide.11,14,15

Young adulthood is a time of increased vulnerability to mental health disorders. This is particularly evident in young women where the impact of mental ill-health affects
not only themselves but the next generation. High levels of maternal stress increases the risk of preterm delivery, low birth weight infants and small-for-gestational-age infants. These factors in turn are associated with impaired cognitive and social developmental outcomes for the infant, further magnifying the impact of maternal stress and rendering prevention and early intervention in young women particularly crucial.

The aims of this study were three-fold: (a) to report the rates of psychological distress in Indigenous and non-Indigenous young adults in the NT; (b) to examine the association of psychological distress and other markers of emotional status; and (c) to examine the relationship between gender, socio-economic status, location and life events and emotional status.

Methods
Setting
Cross-sectional data was obtained as part of the Life Course Program based in Darwin, NT, Australia. The NT has the third largest area of the states and territories in Australia (1,346,200 km²). It has a small (244,300), relatively young population, with the highest proportion of Indigenous people (>30%), of whom four out of five reside in remote or very remote areas. Remote communities vary in population size from 200 to 2000 people, with many small family groups living in outstations (<50 people). Across the NT many (100+) varied dialects are spoken, with English often the second or third language. People who live in remote areas of Australia have limited access to appropriate mental healthcare.

Recruitment and retention
The Life Course Program is a prospective longitudinal study examining the effect of early life factors on later health and disease in Indigenous and non-Indigenous Australians. It encompasses two distinct but complementary cohorts; the Aboriginal Birth Cohort (ABC) and the Top End Cohort (TEC).

The recruitment and previous follow-up of the ABC and TEC studies have been described elsewhere. In brief, between 1987 and 1990, 686 (54% of those eligible) babies born to Indigenous mothers were recruited from the Royal Darwin Hospital, the main referral hospital for the NT, to the ABC study. Subsequent follow-up has occurred at the participant’s residence in over 40 urban and remote communities across the Top End at age 11 (86% examined) and age 18 (71% examined). Between 2007 and 2009, 196 non-Indigenous people born in Darwin between 1987 and 1991 were recruited to the TEC study. TEC participants were age matched to participants of the ABC study. Participants of both studies were examined between August 2013 and June 2015 (age 21–27 years) in their community of residence.

Emotional status assessment
To understand the disparities in mental health between Indigenous and non-Indigenous Australians it is essential that appropriate tools are used. These need to be culturally appropriate, but also allow comparability with other populations. Face-to-face computer assisted interviews were conducted by trained researchers. To increase cultural acceptance, gender specific or older team members conducted the interviews. Local interpreters were used when required.

The Emotional Status Assessment (ESA) used a combination of questionnaires which inquired about symptoms related to the previous 4 weeks. All questions used a five-point Likert scoring scale covering: none, little, some, most or all of the time.

Psychological distress
The Kessler-10 (K-10) is one of three consumer measures mandated for use throughout all Australian public mental health services to assess psychological distress. The shortened version Kessler-5 (K-5) has been used with Indigenous people in state-wide and national surveys. Participants were asked ‘how often did they felt nervous; without hope; restless or jumpy; everything was an effort; and so sad that nothing could cheer them up.’

Positive well-being
The Short Warwick-Edinburgh Mental Well-being Scale (SWEMWS) was used to ascertain subjective positive well-being. Although there is a scarcity of research available from Australia, this scale has been used widely in the United Kingdom and Scotland in teenagers and young adults. Participants were asked ‘how often they felt unable to control the important things in their life; confident about their ability to handle personal problems; that things were going their way; and that difficulties were piling up so high that they could not overcome them.’

Risk of self-harm
Questions from the Strong Souls suicidal ideation (SSSI) were used to classify those at increased risk of suicidal ideation and/or self-harm (hereafter called self-harm). SSSI question were used and validated in the previous follow-up of ABC participants. At risk of self-harm was categorized as high if
answered yes to ‘felt like killing yourself’ or to three out of the four of the following questions; ‘felt like giving up, no point in trying; hurting yourself; wished you were dead; and everyone would be better off without them.’ Further information was then obtained by the senior registered nurse or doctor regarding: immediacy of intent, method of harm, availability of support networks, previous harm and current or previous use of mental health services. Consenting to participation in this study included obtaining consent for referral to appropriate services for any abnormal results. For those who were categorized with high psychological distress and/or at risk of self-harm, the referral process was revisited and the specific pathway and immediacy of referral agreed on. Referral occurred by the local health center and/or relevant mental health service.

**Life stressors**

Additional questions were asked in regard to the occurrence of 13 major life events (yes/no) and were adapted from the Negative Life Events Scale. It included ‘a close family member has been in an accident; has been in hospital; has been arrested; is in prison; has an alcohol problem; has a drug problem; needs their care most days; has passed away; they didn’t have enough money to buy food or pay bills; them or someone in the house gambles a lot and it gives them money problems; they felt their house doesn’t have enough space for all the people who live there; they were scared by other peoples’ behavior; and physically hurt by someone.’

**Statistical analysis**

All statistical analysis was performed in STATA 14.2. Representativeness of the participants in this study compared with those of the available ABC and TEC not included was assessed using Pearson’s $\chi^2$ and Mann–Whitney $U$-test. Response rates were examined by Indigenous identification, residence and gender, through Pearson’s $\chi^2$ tests and linear regression. Participants were categorized into three groups according to Indigenous identification and residence as per the Australian Bureau of Statistics (ABS) Socio-Economic Indexes for Areas. Participants were either Indigenous remote/very remote (hereafter called remote) residing; Indigenous urban residing and non-Indigenous urban residing. Psychological distress was assessed by continuous Kessler-5 score and categorized into two levels: low/moderate 5–11 and high/very high 12–25. At risk of self-harm was categorized as high if answered yes to ‘felt like killing yourself’ or to three out of the four of the additional questions as per the SSSI as described previously.

Multivariable linear regression was used for dependent continuous variables and logistic regression for dependent categorical variables with adjustment for a range of confounding factors such as gender, Indigenous identification and residence. The explanatory variables, gender, socio-economic status (SES) factors, were treated as categorical variables. All other explanatory variables, age, positive well-being, perceived stress, life events, were treated as continuous. Factor analysis was used to identify common themes in the major life events. Factor loadings were then used to develop events variables. A factor loading of >0.6 is considered to indicate a high, with >0.3 a moderately high, correlation.

**Results**

**Demographics**

Participants were young adults aged 21–27 years. All of the TEC participants and a subsection of the ABC (24%) were assessed in urban locations with the remaining 76% of ABC participants assessed in over 40 remote communities and outstations across the NT.

Of the 543 participants available for assessment, 471(87%) completed the ESA questionnaire. When stratified by Indigenous identification, no significant differences in gender, relationship status, employment status or education attainment was seen between those who completed the questionnaire and those who did not (see Supplementary Table 1 for full details). Significant differences in SES were seen between Indigenous and non-Indigenous participants. Majority of Indigenous participants were either married or in a de facto relationship and had one or more children. They had lower rates of employment and lower levels of educational attainment. These rates were similar between remote and urban Indigenous, however urban Indigenous participants had higher rates of employment than remote. Full details see Table 1.

**Psychological distress**

Rates of psychological distress were high (32.8%). SES factors such as being employed, in a relationship or having children did not impact on psychological distress level for the majority. The only exception being in remote Indigenous women where the odds of being distressed were 2.3 times higher if they were in a relationship. This association remained after accounting for each of the life events factors which included; family member arrested/in jail, problems with drugs, financial problems, physical/emotional violence and family death/disability.

**Geographical location and ethnicity**

Similar rates of psychological distress were seen in remote and urban Indigenous participants. Both groups had similar levels of positive well-being, perceived stress and risk of self-harm. Remote Indigenous participants had higher rates of life events than urban Indigenous ($P = 0.001$). See Table 1 for results. For the purpose of this analysis remote and urban Indigenous are combined for further analysis.

Similar rates of psychological distress and perceived stress were seen in Indigenous and non-Indigenous participants. Indigenous participants had significantly higher rates of positive well-being compared with non-Indigenous. Indigenous participants had twice the risk of self-harm than non-Indigenous (see Fig. 1).
Emotional status

Those with high psychological distress also had lower levels of positive well-being, higher perceived stress levels and experienced a higher number of major life events. The correlation between psychological distress and risk of self-harm was highest in non-Indigenous participants (OR 52.4, \( P < 0.0001 \); 95% CI 6.5, 417.4) compared with Indigenous (OR 4.0, \( P < 0.0001 \); 95% CI 2.5, 6.5). On adjusting for gender and Indigenous identification these significances remained in all markers of emotional status (see Table 2).

Gender differences

Overall, women reported higher rates of psychological distress than men (see Fig. 1). However, this difference was largely evident in Indigenous participants with women having almost five times higher odds ratio (OR 4.76, \( P < 0.0001 \); 95% CI 2.87, 7.88) than Indigenous men. This trend was also reflected in risk of self-harm with Indigenous women having a four times higher odds ratio (OR 4.01, \( P < 0.0001 \); 95% CI 2.44, 6.57) than Indigenous men. Further analysis of increased risk of self-harm examining individual questions showed significantly increased risk of both self-harm and suicidal ideation in women. This was most evident in remote Indigenous where women had a three times higher rate of ‘felt like hurting yourself’ (women 31%, men 10%) and ‘felt like killing yourself’ (women 16% v. men 5.5%).

Life events

Of the 471 who completed the ESA, 402 answered additional questions in regards to major life events. Similar levels of perceived stress, positive well-being and risk of self-harm were seen between those who answered the life events and those who did not. However in the Indigenous cohort, higher rates of psychological distress (\( P = 0.041 \)) were evident in those who completed the life events questionnaire (see Supplementary Table 1). Significantly higher rates of life events were experienced in Indigenous compared with non-Indigenous. The occurrence of multiple life events was higher in Indigenous participants with 40% reporting having experienced seven or more life events compared with <1% of non-Indigenous.

For Indigenous participants, death of a loved one was the most often reported stressor (69%), with approximately half reporting having someone in hospital (58%), family problems with alcohol (53%), other drugs (47%) and family member arrested (47%) or in prison (49%). Similar trends were seen in non-Indigenous participants but at a decreased rate (see Fig. 2a–2c for full results). Indigenous women were almost twice as likely to be scared by someone and 1.5 times as likely to report that their house was overcrowded compared with

---

Table 1. Demographics of cohort by Indigenous identification and residence

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Indigenous remote (n = 278)</th>
<th>Indigenous urban (n = 82)</th>
<th>Non-Indigenous (n = 111)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Males [% (n)]</td>
<td>45.7 (127)</td>
<td>47.6 (39)</td>
<td>36.9 (41)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employed* [% (n)]</td>
<td>23.1 (64)</td>
<td>39.5 (32)</td>
<td>91.0 (101)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In a relationship* [% (n)]</td>
<td>66.2 (184)</td>
<td>58.0 (47)</td>
<td>37.8 (42)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attended year 10* [% (n)]</td>
<td>84.5 (234)</td>
<td>84.2 (69)</td>
<td>99.1 (108)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attended year 12* [% (n)]</td>
<td>26.4 (73)</td>
<td>29.3 (24)</td>
<td>94.5 (103)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>With children* [% (n)]</td>
<td>68.1 (175)</td>
<td>57.5 (46)</td>
<td>7.3 (8)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mean ± s.d.

- Age range* 25.25 ± 1.10
- Kessler-5 score 10.10 ± 4.17
- Perceived stress 4.47 ± 3.01
- Positive well-being* 25.43 ± 4.67
- No. of life events* 5.98 ± 3.15

\( *P < 0.005 \) for Indigenous v. non-Indigenous.

\( #P < 0.005 \) for urban Indigenous v. remote Indigenous.

---

Fig. 1. Rates of psychological distress and suicidal ideation and/or self-harm by Indigenous identification and gender.

\( #P < 0.001 \) for Indigenous women v. Indigenous men; \( *P = 0.001 \) for Indigenous v. non-Indigenous.
Indigenous men (see Fig. 2b). No differences were seen between non-Indigenous men and women on any of the life events.

Impact of life events

Factor analysis grouped the 13 Life Events into six factors, of which five had a loading <0.3 and were therefore used (see Supplementary Table 2 for results). These were ‘crime,’ which mainly related to a family member being arrested or in prison; ‘drug issues,’ which related to a family member having a problem with alcohol or other drugs; ‘financial problems,’ which related to not having enough money to pay bills, someone has gambling problem causing financial worries or overcrowding; ‘violence,’ which related to having been scared by someone or physically hurt; and ‘death/disability,’ which related to having a family member pass away or providing care for someone.

In Indigenous participants, univariate analysis suggested associations between psychological distress with financial problems and violence. With risk of self-harm also associated with financial problems and violence. In non-Indigenous participants, univariate analysis suggested associations between psychological distress with violence and death/disability. With risk of self-harm associated with financial problems and violence. See Table 3 for details. On testing for gender interaction in Indigenous and non-Indigenous participants, no evidence was found.

Discussion

A third of young adults in this cohort had high psychological distress. This high rate was seen in both Indigenous and non-Indigenous young adults. This contrasts with the majority of research showing higher levels in Indigenous adults. However, a 2012 review of community surveys found only seven studies that included both Indigenous and non-Indigenous Australians. Two of the seven studies reported that there was no difference in prevalence of psychological distress between Indigenous and non-Indigenous adolescents. We also showed no difference in psychological distress in relation to geographical location, with prevalence similar in both remote and urban residents. This is consistent with the

Table 2. Unadjusted and adjusted associations with psychological distress and risk of self-harm

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Psychological distress</th>
<th>Risk of self-harm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>OR 95% CI</td>
<td>P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unadjusted</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indigenous identification</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-Indigenous</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indigenous</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>0.59, 1.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Location*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Remote</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>0.50, 1.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>3.54</td>
<td>2.31, 5.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perceived stress</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.41</td>
<td>1.30, 1.53</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive well-being</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>0.85, 0.94</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of life events</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.07</td>
<td>1.01, 1.14</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Risk of self-harm</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.91</td>
<td>3.20, 7.56</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adjusted for gender and Indigenous identification</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perceived stress</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.39</td>
<td>1.28, 1.50</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive well-being</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>0.86, 0.95</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of life events</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.13</td>
<td>1.05, 1.22</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Risk of self-harm</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.46</td>
<td>2.83, 7.03</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval.

*Indigenous participants only.

Bold values signifies statistical significance.
Australian Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Health Survey which reported a prevalence of ~30% in both remote and non-remote areas. Although those residing in remote communities have limited access to healthcare, adequate housing and nutrition, it has been postulated that they are also more resilient due to their connection to country and traditional culture. This may also, in part, explain the higher levels of positive well-being reported by remote Indigenous participants in this study.

Of particular note, the increased level of distress was seen in an environment of high perceived stress and, in Indigenous participants, a high number of stressful life events. Similar to Indigenous children and adolescents in the WAACHS, two in five remote and one in five urban Indigenous young adults had
experienced seven or more stressful life events, compared with
<1% of non-Indigenous people. In our cohort, death (70%) or
ill-health (68%) of a loved one was the most often reported for
both Indigenous men and women.10 A high proportion of
Indigenous women (42%) reported violence-related events
(being scared and/or hurt by someone). In these women,
violence-related events were positively associated with an
increased risk of suicidal ideation and/or self-harm. This
environment of increased distress, high stress and lower SES
(poverty, poor diet, inadequate housing)6,7 may be contribut-
ing to the higher rates of morbidity and mortality in Indigenous
Australians.

A direct correlation was seen between psychological distress
and risk of suicidal ideation and/or self-harm in both Indi-
genous and non-Indigenous, men and women. This is not
unexpected as, although suicidal ideation and self-harm does
not necessarily predict suicide, it is definitely associated with
depression and other mental or substance use disorders.13,14
Depression, stressful life events and substance abuse have been
shown to play an important role in the development of suicidal
ideation, self-harm or suicide attempt during adolescence and
early adulthood.14,15 Given the high rates of suicide in the NT,
and the impact mental health has on suicidal ideation and
suicide risk, it is imperative that a holistic, culturally appro-
priate approach to mental health services is provided.

The high prevalence of psychological distress reported in this
cohort is consistent with the national level for Indigenous
young adults of a similar age. However, for non-Indigenous
men and women, it is almost three times higher than the
national rate for non-Indigenous young adults of a similar age
(34 v. 11%).42 It is also far greater than the prevalence rate
reported for the NT (8.1%).43 One reason to account for this
difference might be the small sample size of non-Indigenous
participants in this study. Another might be that the national
samples have possible biases which can lead to under-
estimating prevalence. For example, at risk people such as
those with a mental illness, homeless people and remote
location have been found to be less likely than others to participate
in surveys.43 The ABS does advise caution in regards to the
relative standard error of data available for young people
with psychological distress.6,42 The ability to draw direct
comparison is further complicated by the difference in age
ranges. This cohort is aged 21–27 years while the national
survey reports on 18–24 years and 25–34 years.

Table 3. Unadjusted association of life event factors with psychological distress and risk of self-harm by Indigenous identification

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Psychological distress</th>
<th>Risk of self-harm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>** Indigenous **</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crime</td>
<td>1.14 (0.8, 1.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug</td>
<td>1.18 (0.9, 1.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Financial problems</td>
<td>1.51 (1.0, 2.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Violence</td>
<td>1.71 (1.2, 2.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Death/disability</td>
<td>0.94 (0.6, 1.5)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| ** Non-Indigenous **    |                   |
| Crime                  | 4.13 (0.7, 25.8)  | 4.89 (0.9, 27.7) |
| Drug                   | 0.95 (0.4, 2.4)   | 1.00 (0.3, 3.4)  |
| Financial problems     | 1.01 (0.3, 4.1)   | 5.85 (1.1, 31.2) |
| Violence               | 8.45 (1.2, 61.9)  | 24.92 (3.3, 190.2)|
| Death/disability       | 4.31 (1.2, 15.6)  | 2.1 (0.4, 10.0)  |

OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval.
Bold values signifies statistical significance.
future assessments. However, their validity in Indigenous people is still to be ascertained. A major strength of this study is the ongoing nature which follows the life course approach. In addition to the demonstrated acceptability of these questionnaires, the ability to add to the already collected data increases both depth and breadth to this unique resource enhancing its value now, into the future and into the next generations.

Conclusion
One in three Indigenous and non-Indigenous young adults in the NT reported high psychological distress levels. Correspondingly high levels were seen in all other markers of emotional status; perceived stress, stressful life events and suicidal ideation and/or self-harm. A continued focus on early screening and treatment at this vulnerable age is required, particularly in young women with pregnancy being an opportune time to universally screen for mental health issues. There is a need to expand efforts upstream by advocating for amelioration of inequality and social disadvantage that creates constant stress on human systems and increases the risk of later disease. A concerted focus on improving the environs of young adults by lowering levels of stress, improving access to nutritious food, housing, educational and employment opportunity, will assist in improving an individual’s mental health status as well as the trajectory of disease into future generations.
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